

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN  
MADISON DIVISION

AMY BLEILE,  
an individual,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO: 3:17-CV-106

vs.

ROSEBUD PARTNERS, LLC,

Defendant.

---

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, Amy Bleile (“Plaintiff”) by and through the undersigned counsel, hereby files this Complaint and sues ROSEBUD PARTNERS, LLC, for injunctive relief, attorney’s fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12181 *et seq.*, (“Americans with Disabilities Act” or “ADA”) and alleges:

**JURISDICTION AND PARTIES**

1. This is an action for declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12181 *et seq.*, (hereinafter referred to as the “ADA”). This Court is vested with original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. Sections 1331 and 1343.

2. Venue is proper in this Court, the Western District of Wisconsin pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391 (B) and Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin.

3. Plaintiff, Amy Bleile (hereinafter referred to as “Bleile”) is a resident of Whitewater, Wisconsin and is a qualified individual with a disability under the ADA. Bleile suffers from what constitutes a “qualified disability” under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (“ADA”) and all other applicable Federal statutes and regulations to the extent that she

suffers from cerebral palsy and requires the use of a wheelchair for mobility and has limited use of her upper extremities. Prior to instituting the instant action, Bleile visited the Defendant's premises at issue in this matter, and was denied full, safe and equal access to the subject property of Defendant due to its lack of compliance with the ADA. Bleile continues to desire and intends to visit the Defendant's premises but continues to be denied full, safe and equal access due to the barriers to access that continue to exist.

4. The Defendant, Rosebud Partners, LLC, is a corporation registered to do business and, in fact, conducting business in the State of Wisconsin. Upon information and belief, Rosebud Partners, LLC, (hereinafter referred to as "ROSEBUD") is the owner, lessee and/or operator of the real property and improvements that is the subject of this action, specifically: Movies 10 located at 3100 Deerfield Drive in Janesville, Wisconsin (hereinafter referred to as "Movie Theater").

5. All events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in the Western District of Wisconsin.

#### **COUNT I - VIOLATION OF THE ADA**

6. On or about July 26, 1990, Congress enacted the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. §12101 *et seq.* Commercial enterprises were provided one and a half years from enactment of the statute to implement its requirements. The effective date of Title III of the ADA was January 26, 1992. 42 U.S.C. §12181; 20 C.F.R. §36.508 (A).

7. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12181(7) and 28 C.F.R. §36.104, the Movie Theater owned by ROSEBUD is a place of public accommodation in that it is a movie theater operated by a private entity that provides goods and services to the public.

8. Defendant, ROSEBUD, has discriminated and continues to discriminate against the Plaintiff, and others who are similarly situated, by denying access to, and full, safe and equal

enjoyment of goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations at the Movie Theater in derogation of 42 U.S.C §12101 *et seq.*

9. The Plaintiff has been unable to and continues to be unable to enjoy access to, and the benefits of the services offered at the Movie Theater owned by ROSEBUD. Prior to the filing of this lawsuit, Plaintiff personally and regularly visited the Movie Theater at issue in this lawsuit and was denied access to the benefits, accommodations and services of the Defendant's premises and therefore suffered an injury in fact as a result of the barriers listed in Paragraph 11, below, that the Plaintiff personally encountered. In addition, Plaintiff continues to desire and intends to visit the Movie Theater, but continues to be injured in that she is unable to and continues to be discriminated against due to the barriers to access which remain at the Movie Theater in violation of the ADA. Bleile has now and continues to have reasonable grounds for believing that she has been and will be discriminated against because of the Defendant's continuing deliberate and knowing violations of the ADA.

10. Pursuant to the mandates of 42 U.S.C. §12134(a), on July 26, 1991, the Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General, promulgated Federal Regulations to implement the requirements of the ADA 28 C.F.R. Part 36.

11. ROSEBUD is in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12181 *et seq.* and 28 C.F.R. §36.302 *et seq.* and is discriminating against the Plaintiff as a result of *inter alia*, the following specific violations that Plaintiff personally encountered:

- i. There are an insufficient number of seating positions in the theaters;
- ii. There is no dispersion of seating positions in the theaters;

- iii. In the women's restroom, the accessible toilet compartment is too narrow for a wheelchair user and no standard wheelchair accessible toilet stall is provided;
- iv. In the women's toilet room, the grab bars are not long enough at the water closet;
- v. The flush valve is on the narrow side of the toilet compartment and is out of reach for a wheelchair user in the women's toilet room;

12. There are other current barriers to access and violations of the ADA at the Movie Theater owned and operated by ROSEBUD that were not specifically identified herein as the Plaintiff is not required to engage in a futile gesture pursuant to 28 C.F.R. Part 36, §36.501 and, as such, only once a full inspection is performed by Plaintiff or Plaintiff's representatives can all said violations be identified.

13. To date, the barriers to access and other violations of the ADA still exist and have not been remedied or altered in such a way as to effectuate compliance with the provisions of the ADA.

14. Pursuant to the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §12101 *et seq.* and 28 C.F.R. §36.304, ROSEBUD was required to make its Movie Theater, a place of public accommodation, accessible to persons with disabilities by January 28, 1992. To date, ROSEBUD has failed to comply with this mandate.

15. Plaintiff has been obligated to retain undersigned counsel for the filing and prosecution of this action. Plaintiff is entitled to have his reasonable attorney's fees, costs and expenses paid by ROSEBUD pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12205.

16. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12188, this Court is vested with the authority to grant Plaintiff injunctive relief, including an Order to alter the subject facilities to make them readily accessible to,

and useable by, individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA, and closing the subject facilities until the requisite modifications are completed.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against ROSEBUD and requests the following injunctive and declaratory relief:

- A. That the Court declare that the property owned and administered by Defendant is violative of the ADA;
- B. That the Court enter an Order directing Defendant to alter its facilities to make them accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA;
- C. That the Court enter an Order directing Defendant to evaluate and neutralize its policies and procedures towards persons with disabilities for such reasonable time so as to allow them to undertake and complete corrective procedures;
- D. That the Court award reasonable attorney's fees, costs (including expert fees) and other expenses of suit, to the Plaintiff; and
- E. That the Court award such other and further relief as it deems necessary, just and proper.

Dated this 9<sup>th</sup> day of February 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Edward I. Zwilling  
Edward I. Zwilling, Esq.  
Bar No.: ASB-1564-L54E

**OF COUNSEL:**

Schwartz Roller & Zwilling  
600 Vestavia Parkway, Suite 251  
Birmingham, Alabama 35216  
Telephone: (205) 822-2701  
Facsimile: (205) 822-2702  
Email: ezwillling@szalaw.com